IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)			
)			
V •)	CR.	NO.	1:06cr63-F
)			
REGINALD RANDALL GLANTON)			

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S PROPOSED FORFEITURE INSTRUCTIONS

Comes now the United States of America (United States), by and through Leura G. Canary, United States Attorney, and John T. Harmon, Assistant United States Attorney, and submits its Proposed Forfeiture Instructions for use in the forfeiture proceedings of the above-styled case.

The United States respectfully requests the Court, pursuant to Rule 30 of the <u>Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure</u>, to include and use the attached forfeiture instructions in charging the jury or, in the alternative, to use in substance the principles of law identified in the attached instructions in charging the jury.

Respectfully submitted this 11^{th} day of September, 2006.

FOR THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY LEURA G. CANARY

/s/John T. Harmon

John T. Harmon

Assistant United States Attorney

Bar Number: 7068-II58J

Office of the United States Attorney

Middle District of Alabama

One Court Square, Suite 201 (36104)

Post Office Box 197

Montgomery, Alabama 36101-0197

Telephone: (334) 223-7280

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 11, 2006, I electronically filed the foregoing Proposed Forfeiture Instructions with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following: Tommie Brown Hardwick and Kevin L. Butler.

/s/John T. Harmon

John T. Harmon Assistant United States Attorney Office of the United States Attorney Middle District of Alabama One Court Square, Suite 201 (36104) Post Office Box 197

Montgomery, Alabama 36101-0197

Telephone: (334) 223-7280 Facsimile: (334) 223-7560

E-mail: <u>John.Harmon@usdoj.gov</u>

Bar Number: 7068-II58J

Members of the Jury:

Your verdict in this case does not complete your jury service, as it would in most cases, because there is another matter you must now consider and decide, namely, whether the Defendant should forfeit certain property to the United States as a part of the penalty for the crime charged in Count 1 of the indictment.

In a portion of the indictment not previously discussed or disclosed to you, it is alleged that a certain firearm was involved in the offense as charged in Count 1; and, in view of your verdict finding the Defendant guilty of that offense, you must also decide, under the law I will now explain to you, whether such firearm should be forfeited to the United States.

The term "forfeited" simply means for someone to be divested or deprived of the ownership of something as a part of the punishment allowed by the law for the commission of certain criminal offenses.

In deciding these forfeiture issues you should consider all of the evidence you have already heard during the trial plus the additional evidence, if any, that will be presented to you when I finish giving you these instructions.

The forfeiture allegation of the indictment - a copy of which will be provided to you for your consideration during your supplemental deliberations - describes in particular the firearm allegedly subject to forfeiture to the United States:

One AMT (Arcadia Machine and Tool) .40 Caliber Pistol, Serial Number A382147.

In order to be entitled to the forfeiture of this firearm, the United States must have proved by a preponderance of the evidence that this firearm was involved in the offense as charged in Count 1 of the indictment.

A "preponderance of the evidence" simply means an amount of evidence which is enough to persuade you that a claim or contention is more likely true than not true. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt as used earlier in your deliberations is **not** required and you should put that standard out of your mind.

While deliberating concerning the issue of forfeiture you must not reexamine your previous determination regarding the Defendant's guilt. However, all of the instructions previously given to you concerning your consideration of the evidence, the credibility of the witnesses, your duty to deliberate together, your duty to base your verdict solely on the evidence without prejudice, bias or sympathy, and the necessity of a unanimous verdict, will continue to apply during these supplemental deliberations.

Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal Cases, Eleventh Circuit (2003 revision)

Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.2 provides:

(a) Notice To The Defendant. A court shall enter a judgment of forfeiture in a criminal proceeding unless the indictment or information contains a notice to the defendant that the government will seek the forfeiture of property as part of any sentence in accordance with the applicable statute.

Granted	
Modified	
Denied	
Withdrawn	

Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(g), makes it a federal crime or offense for anyone who has been convicted of a felony offense to possess any firearm in or affecting interstate commerce.

The term "firearm" means any weapon which is designed to, or may readily be converted to, expel a projectile by the action of an explosive; and the term includes the frame or receiver of any such weapon, or any firearm muffler or firearm silencer.

Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal Cases, Eleventh Circuit (2003 revision)

Granted	
Modified	
Denied	
Withdrawn	

The law recognizes several kinds of possession. A person may have actual possession or constructive possession. A person may also have sole possession or joint possession.

A person who knowingly has direct physical control of something is then in actual possession of it.

A person who is not in actual possession, but who has both the power and the intention to later take control over something either alone or together with someone else, is in constructive possession of it.

If one person alone has possession of something, that possession is sole. If two or more persons share possession, such possession is joint.

Whenever the word "possession" has been used in these instructions, it includes constructive as well as actual possession, and also joint as well as sole possession.

United States v. Hastamorir, 881 F.2d 1551 (11th Cir. 1989)

Granted	
Modified	
Denied	
Withdrawn	

Pattern Jury Instructions, Criminal Cases, Eleventh Circuit (2003 revision)

The phrase "crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year" generally means a crime which is a felony. The phrase does not include any State offense classified by the laws of that State as a misdemeanor.

I hereby instruct you that the crime of Unlawful Distribution of a Controlled Substance is a crime in the State of Alabama punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year as that phrase is used in these instructions.

2A Fed. Jury Prac. & Instr. §39.10 (5th ed.)

Granted

Modified

Denied

Withdrawn

Count 1 of the indictment and the forfeiture allegation list the firearm which the United States contends was involved in or was traceable to property involved in the unlawful possession of firearms and ammunition violation. The Special Verdict Form regarding forfeiture states the property which the indictment alleges is such property regarding that Count.

	 _
Granted	
Modified	
Denied	
Denred	
Withdrawn	

UNITED	STATES'	PROPOSED	FORFETTURE	INSTRUCTION	NO.	6

You must reach a	unanımous	verdict	as	to	each	question	on	the
Special Verdict Form.								

Title 19, United States Code, Section 1615; <u>U.S. v. \$41,305 In Currency</u>, 802 F.2d

1339, 1343 (11th Cir. 1986); <u>U.S. v. One 1975 Ford F-100 Pickup Truck</u>, 558 F.2d 755, 756 (5th Cir. 1977).

 $\underline{\text{U.S. v. Four Million, Two Hundred Fifty-Five Thousand}}$, 762 F.2d 895, 904 (11th Cir. 1985).

Granted _____

Modified _____

Denied _____

Withdrawn _____

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA)
v.) CR. NO. 1:06cr63-F) REGINALD RANDALL GLANTON)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA'S PROPOSED SPECIAL VERDICT FORM
We, the Jury, unanimously find by a preponderance of the
evidence that an AMT (Arcadia Machine and Tool), .40 Caliber
Pistol, Serial Number A382147 was involved in and was used in the
Defendant's violation of Count 1 as stated in the indictment.
YES
NO

So, Say We All, this ____ day of _____, 2006.

FOREPERSON